

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,951	10/24/2000	Eugenie Charriere	004900-188	8720
21839 75	90 09/28/2006		EXAM	INER
	, INGERSOLL & ROOM	SERGENT,	SERGENT, RABON A	
POST OFFICE ALEXANDRIA	BOX 1404 A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/673,951	CHARRIERE ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rabon Sergent	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a lood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	September 2006		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-42,44,46 and 52-58</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) 40,44,46 and 52-58 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-39,41 and 42</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the pa	riority documents have been	received in this National S	tage
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 13, 2006 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 24-39, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Despite applicants' remarks, the position is taken that the structural requirements of claim 26 do not mandate the presence of any functional groups, since no R groups are required to contain active or functional isocyanate groups. Given this position, it is unclear how to interpret the "polyfunctional" or "polyfunctional isocyanate(s)" language within "tricondensate polyfunctional reaction product" (claims 24 and 25), "tricondensate polyfunctional isocyanates reaction products" (claims 25 and 29), and "tricondensate polyfunctional isocyanate reaction product" (claim 26). It is unclear if these products are required to contain active or functional isocyanate groups.

Within claims 25 and 29, the language, "tricondensate polyfunctional isocyanates reaction products", is ambiguous in view of the plural "isocyanates" language. Also, with respect to claim 29, this language lacks antecedent basis from claim 24.

Application/Control Number: 09/673,951

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

Within claim 26, the language, "tricondensate polyfunctional isocyanate reaction product", lacks antecedent basis from claim 24. It is presumed that the language refers to the reaction product of step a) of claim 24.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent September 25, 2006 RABON SERGENT RIMARY EXAMINER